

**MINUTES  
OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE  
EDINA CITY COUNCIL  
HELD AT CITY HALL  
MAY 17, 2005  
7:00 P.M.**

**ROLLCALL** Answering rollcall were Members Hulbert, Masica, Swenson and Mayor Hovland.

**CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS APPROVED** Motion made by Member Swenson and seconded by Member Hulbert approving the Council Consent Agenda as presented with the exception of the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of May 3, 2005.

Rollcall:

Ayes: Hulbert, Masica, Swenson, Hovland

Motion carried.

**\*MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF MAY 3, 2005 APPROVED** Motion made by Mayor Hovland and seconded by Member Swenson approving the Minutes of the Special Meeting of the Edina City Council for May 3, 2005.

Motion carried on rollcall vote - four ayes.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF MAY 3, 2005, APPROVED, AS CORRECTED** Mayor Hovland made a motion approving the corrected Minutes of the Edina City Council for May 3, 2005. Member Swenson seconded the motion.

Ayes: Hulbert, Masica, Swenson, Hovland

Motion carried.

**RESOLUTION NO. 2005-38 ORDERING IMPROVEMENT NO. A-217 FOR ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION - SCHAEFER CIRCLE** Affidavits of Notice were presented, approved and ordered placed on file.

Presentation by Engineer:

Engineer Houle noted action on this project had been continued from the April 17, 2005, meeting to allow for a re-survey of the affected property owners. Mr. Houle explained the proposed project consisted of reconstructing the bituminous pavement and base, installing new watermain, repairing sanitary sewer as needed and replacing the water services from the new watermain to the curb boxes. He added that he was not recommending curb and gutter as part of this project.

Mr. Houle reported an informational neighborhood meeting was held November 15, 2004. The neighborhood was surveyed with eight of eight surveys returned. The majority of residents preferred that no curb and gutter or decorative street lights be incorporated in the project.

Mr. Houle said the engineer's estimated cost for the proposed improvement was \$68,000 with an estimated special assessment of approximately \$8,500 per residential equivalent unit or assessable lot. He said that an assessable lot was determined to be a detached single family home abutting the roadway. Mr. Houle said that the assessments would be spread over ten years at approximately 6.5% interest using the Council's present policy. He reminded the Council that final assessments would be calculated after the project's installation at the assessment hearing.

## Minutes/Edina City Council/May 17, 2005

### Resident Comment

Don Hasbargen, 6213 Schaefer Circle, questioned why a water main installation was necessary. He suggested only improving the roads surface and voiced dismay with the total inconvenience of the project.

Dr. Paul Hartig, 6204 Schaefer Circle, suggested only improving the roads surface since just one of the residents' surveyed wanted reconstruction. He voiced concern with the inconvenience they would experience and stated they have never had problems with their water. Mr. Houle noted that the contractor's were very accommodating to residents regarding access to their homes.

Jerry Toles, 6209 Schaefer Circle, voiced concern with the inconvenience of the project. He said there was a fire hydrant near his home and questioned why it needed to be relocated. Mr. Toles said when he hooked up to City water recently; he had to acquire a County permit. He noted many Edina streets were worse than Schaefer Circle and questioned why it was being proposed for reconstruction.

Marilyn Hartig, 6204 Schaefer Circle, voiced concern with the inconvenience of the project, such as carrying groceries into the house. She suggested overlaying the street and noted they have had no water problems at their residence.

Alison Gassedelen, 6208 Schaefer Circle, said she and her husband were in favor of the project. She added an overlay was a temporary, cosmetic fix and would only delay the total reconstruction. Ms. Gassedelen questioned whether the rumor was true that the City may change its assessment policy where residents may not need to pay 100% of the street assessment. Mayor Hovland responded that a new special assessment policy was being considered but no decisions had been reached at this time. He assured the residents that when a decision was made they would be part of the new policy.

### **Member Swenson made a motion closing the public hearing, seconded by Member Masica.**

Ayes: Hulbert, Masica, Swenson, Hovland

Motion carried.

Member Masica questioned whether drainage issues would be improved on the two residences that have problems. Mr. Houle replied that all the drainage and utility issues would be dealt with during the reconstruction.

Member Swenson asked if residents were aware that before the first overlay was completely paid for another overlay may be needed on the street. She suggested giving the residents what they asked, an overlay and not total reconstruction, but added in her opinion the best thing for all would be to approve the total reconstruction.

Member Hulbert said the road has had a good run and it was time to get a permanent fix for the road.

Member Masica stated the most cost effective thing to do would be to do the total reconstruction now. She said it seemed comments referred more to the inconvenience of the reconstruction than anything else. She suggested doing the complete reconstruction.

Mayor Hovland said it was essential that the infrastructure of the City be maintained. He suggested that the project be done right and reconstructed.

**Member Swenson introduced the following resolution and moved its adoption:**

**RESOLUTION NO. 2005-38  
ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION  
SCHAEFER CIRCLE  
IMPROVEMENT NO. A-217**

**WHEREAS, the Edina City Council on the 15<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2005, fixed a date for a Council hearing on the proposed street reconstruction, Improvement No. A-217; and**

**WHEREAS, ten days' mailed notice and two weeks' published notice of the hearing was given, and the hearing was held thereon on the 17<sup>th</sup> day of May 2005, for Improvement No. A-217 reconstructing the existing bituminous pavement and base, placing a watermain and repairing storm sewer on Schaefer Circle at which time all persons desiring to be heard were given an opportunity to be heard thereon.**

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Council has duly considered the views of all persons interested, and being fully advised of the pertinent facts, does hereby determine to proceed with the construction of said improvement, including all proceedings which may be necessary in eminent domain for the acquisition of necessary easements and rights hereby designated and shall be referred to in all subsequent proceedings as Improvement Project No. A-217, reconstructing the existing bituminous pavement and base, replacing fire hydrants and extending storm sewer on Schaefer Circle from Interlachen Boulevard to Westwood Court.**

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Improvement No. A-217 reconstructing the existing bituminous pavement and base, placing a watermain and repairing storm sewer on Schaefer Circle is hereby ordered as proposed.**

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Engineer is hereby designated as the engineer for this improvement. The engineer shall cause plans and specifications to be prepared for the making of such improvement.**

**Passed and adopted this 17<sup>th</sup> day of May 2005. Member Hulbert seconded the motion.**

Rollcall:

Ayes: Hulbert, Masica, Swenson, Hovland

Motion carried.

**ORDINANCE NO. 2005-04 APPROVED, LIFTING THE MORATORIUM ON SUBDIVISION OF SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING LOTS IN THE R-1 ZONING DISTRICT** Manager Hughes indicated on July 6, 2004, the Council adopted Ordinance No. 2004-09, which imposed a moratorium on subdivisions in the Single Family Dwelling Unit District (R-1) while the City conducted a study of its present subdivision ordinance. The moratorium was in effect until July 6, 2005, unless earlier terminated by the Council.

Mr. Hughes explained the moratorium was imposed under the authority contained in Minnesota Statutes, Section 462.355, Subdivision 4, authorizing the City to enact an interim ordinance which may regulate, restrict or prohibit any use, development or subdivision within the City or a portion thereof if it was conducting a study or has authorized a study or was considering amendment to its comprehensive plan or zoning or subdivision ordinance.

Mr. Hughes elaborated that the Council held a work session prior to their meeting on May 3, 2005, with the task force and the Planning Commission to discuss the task force's study and recommendation and accepted the task force's report. Based upon their recommendation, it

**Minutes/Edina City Council/May 17, 2005**

appears no changes need to be made to the subdivision ordinance and it would be appropriate to adopt the ordinance as presented, which rescinds the moratorium on applications for new subdivisions. He suggested if the Council were so inclined, granting second reading of the ordinance would be appropriate to end the moratorium before its normal date of July 6, 2005.

Member Masica stated her recommendation that the moratorium be rescinded.

Member Swenson noted that she was on the Planning Commission when the moratorium was enacted and she was in favor of rescinding it.

Mayor Hovland thanked the task force for all their work on behalf of the City. He reminded the Council who served on the task force, Rod Hardy, Craig Johnson, Robert Johnson, Meg Mannix, Mary Vasaly, Craig Larsen - City Planner, and Greg Ingraham - the Consultant. from AICP Ingraham and Associates.

**Member Masica made a motion approving Ordinance No. 2005-04, as presented with waiver of second reading:**

**ORDINANCE NO. 2005-04  
AN ORDINANCE RESCINDING THE MORATORIUM  
ON PLATTING, REPLATTING OR SUBDIVISION OF LOTS  
AND OTHER PROPERTY WITHIN THE  
SINGLE DWELLING UNIT DISTRICT (R-1)  
IN THE CITY OF EDINA**

**THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EDINA, MINNESOTA, ORDAINS:**

**Section 1. The moratorium on platting, replatting or subdivision of lots and other property within the Single Dwelling Unit District (R-1) within the City of Edina, enacted by Edina Ordinance No. 2004-09 is hereby rescinded as of the effective date of this ordinance.**

**Section 2. Effective Date: This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon its passage.**

**First Reading: May 17, 2005**

**Second Reading: Waived**

**Publication: May 26, 2005**

**Attest:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Debra A. Mangen, City Clerk**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**James B. Hovland, Mayor**

Member Swenson seconded the motion.

Rollcall:

Ayes: Hulbert, Masica, Swenson, Hovland

Motion carried.

**\*PUBLIC HEARING ON CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR GOOD SAMARITAN CHURCH (5730 GROVE STREET) CHURCH EXPANSION CONTINUED TO JUNE 7, 2005**  
Motion made by Member Swenson and seconded by Member Hulbert to continue the Conditional Use Permit for Good Samaritan Church, 5730 Grove Street, church expansion to June 7, 2005.

Motion carried on rollcall vote - four ayes.

**RESOLUTION NO. 2005-39 APPROVING PRELIMINARY PLAT AND FINAL PLAT FOR BLAKE SCHOOL AND CITY OF HOPKINS - WEST OF BLAKE ROAD/NORTH OF BELMORE LANE** Affidavits of Notice were presented, approved and ordered placed on file.

Presentation by Planner:

Mr. Larsen explained as part of a settlement with Hennepin County, related to the expansion of Excelsior Boulevard on the north side of Blake School's Hopkins campus, the City of Hopkins agreed to convey to Blake School a strip of land along the south side of the campus. The strip of land was a part of the abandoned streetcar right-of-way. Although owned by Hopkins, the strip of land was within the Edina city limits. He noted that conveyance of the strip of land necessitated replatting the property because it was part of a larger parcel. Mr. Larsen noted that since a portion of the land was within the corporate boundaries of the City of Edina, approval of the Edina City Council was necessary to complete the process.

Mr. Larsen said Blake School platted the strip of land as an outlot, which under the Edina zoning regulations would not be a buildable lot. He added Blake School has informed the City that it currently has no plans for development of the outlot or its property in Edina immediately south, which it acquired last year. Mr. Larsen stated any future development for school purposes would require the City to issue a conditional use permit.

Mr. Larsen reported the Planning Commission recommended plat approval. Staff would recommend granting preliminary and final plat approval.

Council comments:

Member Swenson noted upon walking the site, the subject property was adjacent to an unused roadway near where a proposed subdivision was to take place. She questioned whose responsibility it was to maintain the site and trail. Mr. Larsen said the agreement required Blake School to maintain the trail.

Member Hovland inquired whether the City would be enhancing any development rights by approving the plat of the Outlot, would a Conditional Use Permit be required by the City if Blake School wanted to use the outlot, and if a used existed that would not require notification of the City. Mr. Larsen said we were granting no rights, if Blake School wanted to use the outlot, they would have to apply to the City for a Conditional Use Permit, a recreational use would not require a permit while a structure or like improvements would require a permit.

Member Swenson said that use of the property for a parking lot would require a City permit.

William Griffith, Attorney with Larkin, Hoffman, Daly & Lindgren Ltd., Attorney for Blake School, said this complicated matter grew out of the County working with the City of Hopkins during the expansion of Excelsior Boulevard.

Mayor Hovland inquired whether neighborhood meetings have been held. Mr. Griffith replied no neighborhood meetings have been held in reference to this proposal.

Member Hulbert inquired about how much land was taken for the expansion of Excelsior Boulevard. Mr. Griffith said the land acquired was more than was gained in the acquisition.

Bob Sower, 300 Blake Road South, said he would not like the property changed into a parking lot. Because it was a buffer for sound and wind to the neighborhood and he would like it to remain like it is.

Minutes/Edina City Council/May 17, 2005

Member Swenson asked if parking was banned within a certain number of feet from a pedestrian crossing. Mr. Houle said from an intersection there was a thirty foot rule but not from a pedestrian crosswalk.

Mayor Hovland asked how mature trees and vegetation could be maintained on the site and the parking issue. Mr. Larsen said there was a tree-cutting permit ordinance that requires a reason for removing trees without a development plan noted a parking use would require a conditional use permit.

Member Masica asked for an explanation of Exhibit B that was furnished by the Blake School. Attorney Gilligan said the attorney for Blake School could interpret the Exhibit that refers to trees and vegetation, but it seemed approval by Edina would be required to make any changes.

Buzz Jonason, 300 Dearborn Court, voiced concern that the integrity of the neighborhood remained intact.

Bob Anthony, 301 Griffith Street, asked if the proposed subdivision was part of this discussion. Mr. Larsen responded not at this time.

Attorney Griffith noted that Hopkins intent was to maintain the trail access.

**Member Swenson made a motion seconded by Member Hulbert to close the public hearing.**

Ayes: Hulbert, Masica, Swenson, Hovland

Motion carried.

Member Masica asked what Blake School was planning for the Spruce Road property. Mr. Griffith said he had no knowledge of Blake School's plans.

**Member Masica introduced the following resolution approving the preliminary and final plat as follows:**

**RESOLUTION NO. 2005-39**

**BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Edina, Minnesota, that that certain plat entitled, "The Blake School", City of Hopkins, platted by The Blake School, City of Hopkins and presented at the regular meeting of the City Council on May 17, 2005, be and is hereby granted preliminary plat approval and final plat approval.**

**Adopted this 17<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2005.**

Member Swenson seconded the motion.

Rollcall:

Ayes: Hulbert, Masica, Swenson, Hovland

Motion carried.

**\*BID AWARDED FOR ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS - CONTRACT NO. ENG. 05-4, SOUTH HARRIET PARK WEST, IMPROVEMENT NO. A-204 Motion made by Member Swenson and seconded by Member Hulbert for award of bid for roadway improvement, Contract No. Eng. 05-4 for South Harriet Park West, Improvement No. A-204, to recommended low bidder, Midwest Asphalt Corporation at \$1,029,872.15.**

Motion carried on rollcall vote - four ayes.

**\*BID AWARDED FOR ARDEN PARK CANOE ACCESS AND WEST 54<sup>TH</sup> STREET BEAUTIFICATION IMPROVEMENTS, CONTRACT NO. ENG 05-6 AND IMPROVEMENT NO. B-101** Motion made by Member Swenson and seconded by Member Hulbert for award of bid for Arden Park canoe access and West 54<sup>th</sup> Street beautification improvements, Contract No. Eng 05-6 and Improvement No. B-101 to recommended low bidder, Sunram Construction, Inc., at \$47,205.97.

Motion carried on rollcall vote – four ayes.

**REQUEST RECEIVED REQUESTING CHANGE TO SECTION 900 OF CITY CODE REGARDING ON-SALE INTOXICATING LIQUOR LICENSES IN THE PCD-2 DISTRICT**

Mr. Hughes noted that a letter had been received from Richard T. Curtin, owner of the building at 5034 France Avenue (the Ampersand Building). Mr. Curtin's letter explained he had signed a letter of intent with Parasole Restaurant Holdings with the intent to establish a restaurant at 5034 France Avenue. Parasole intends to apply for an intoxicating on-sale liquor license for the restaurant. The property was zoned PCD-2, Planned Commercial District.

Mr. Hughes explained Section 900 of the City Code permitted issuance of on-sale intoxicating liquor licenses in the PCD-2 District but required such establishments not exceed 150 seats. He noted Parasole's request was that the restaurants provide seating for 225 so they have requested the City amend Section 900 of the City Code.

Mr. Hughes said the Council in 1999 following a referendum, amended Code Section 900 providing for the issuance of on-sale intoxicating liquor licenses. He noted based upon the Minutes from that January 19, 1999, meeting, it appeared the City's concern with restaurant size dealt mainly with parking availability at 50<sup>th</sup> & France rather than any particular issue with respect to alcohol service.

Mr. Hughes stated it had been the Council's policy to amend the liquor regulations only after conducting a public hearing. Mr. Hughes added if the Council wished to consider the request of Mr. Curtin and Parasole Restaurant Holdings; a public hearing could be scheduled for the June 7, 2005, regular Council meeting.

Greg Gadle, President of Parasole Restaurant Holdings, said Parasole would like to open a restaurant with 210 indoor and 30 outdoor seats, similar to Tejas on 50<sup>th</sup> Street. He explained that 75% of their business would be at dinner and 50% of their business would be on weekends.

Philip Roberts, 4600 Bruce Avenue, explained that Parasole has a long history in the restaurant business and said this new venture would have a French bistro influence and be named Odeon. Mr. Roberts presented a pictorial display of the plans and the proposed menu for the new restaurant. He said requesting the amendment to the Ordinance to increase seating would make the proposed new restaurant an economically viable enterprise.

Steven Roberts, 190 First Avenue, New York City, the designer of the project, presented the concept plan to the Council.

Following a Council discussion, **Member Swenson made a motion setting a public hearing date of June 7, 2005, to hear the request for a change to Code Section 900 permitting issuance of an on-sale liquor license for restaurants with seating capacity of over 150 seats.** Member Hulbert seconded the motion.

Minutes/Edina City Council/May 17, 2005

Ayes: Hulbert, Masica, Swenson, Hovland  
Motion carried.

**\*TRAFFIC SAFETY STAFF REVIEW OF MAY 10, 2005, APPROVED** Motion made by Member Swenson and seconded by Member Hulbert approving the Traffic Safety Staff Review of May 10, 2005, Section A as follows:

1. Removal of NO TURN ON RED signs at the northbound Wooddale to east bound 50<sup>th</sup> Street and south bound Wooddale to west bound 50<sup>th</sup> Street, due to lack of warrants and to ensure consistent use of these signs throughout the City and State. Installation of STOP FOR PEDESTRIAN IN CROSSWALK signs for all approaches.

and for Section B. and C.

Motion carried on rollcall vote – four ayes.

**\*RESOLUTION NO. 2005-37 APPROVING NO PARKING AT BROOKVIEW AVENUE, DEWEY HILL ROAD, WEST 50<sup>TH</sup> STREET AND WEST 54<sup>TH</sup> STREET** Member Swenson introduced the following resolution, seconded by Member Hulbert as follows:

**RESOLUTION NO. 2005-37**

**RELATING TO PARKING RESTRICTIONS ON**

**S.A.P. NOS. 120-138-07 (DEWEY HILL ROAD), 120-154-04 (BROOKSIDE AVENUE),  
120-141-12 (WEST 50<sup>TH</sup> STREET) & 120-142-02 (WEST 54<sup>TH</sup> STREET)**

WHEREAS, the City of Edina has planned the improvements of MSAS 138 (Dewey Hill Road) from Gleason Road to Cahill Road; MSAS 154 (Brookside Avenue) from Interlachen Boulevard to 350-ft north of Brookside Court (north) to City limits; MSAS 141 (West 50<sup>th</sup> Street) from Wooddale Avenue to France Avenue; and MSAS 142 (West 54<sup>th</sup> Street) from Park Place to 100-ft west of Minnehaha Boulevard; and

WHEREAS, the City of Edina will be expending Municipal State Aid Funds on the improvement of these Streets, and

WHEREAS, these improvements do not provide adequate width for parking on both sides of the street; and approval of the proposed construction as Municipal State Aid Street projects must therefore be conditioned upon certain parking restrictions.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS THEREBY RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Edina shall ban the parking of motor vehicles on one side of MSAS 138 (Dewey Hill Road) from Gleason Road to Cahill Road; on MSAS 154 (Brookside Avenue) on both sides from Interlachen Boulevard to West 49<sup>th</sup> Street, on one side from West 49<sup>th</sup> Street to Brookside Court (north), and on both sides from Brookside Court (north) to 350-ft north of Brookside Court (north) to City limits; on MSAS 141 (West 50<sup>th</sup> Street) on one side from Wooddale Avenue to 200-ft east of Halifax Avenue and on both sides from 200-ft east of Halifax Avenue to 200-ft west of France Avenue; and on one side of MSAS 142 (West 54<sup>th</sup> Street) from Park Place to 100-ft west of Minnehaha Boulevard, at all times.

Passed and adopted this 17<sup>th</sup> day of May 2005.

Motion carried on rollcall vote – four ayes.

**OFF-LEASH DOG PARK PROPOSAL AT VAN VALKENBURG PARK PRESENTED** Director Keprios explained at the April 12, 2005, Park Board meeting, a motion was made and passed unanimously to build an interim off-leash dog park at Van Valkenburg Park contingent upon 50% of the funding coming from donations from the Edina Community Foundation. The motion also recommended a \$25.00 annual user fee for residents and \$50.00 per year for non-residents.

Mr. Keprios said he received a verbal commitment from a dog owner who was a proponent of the project who was willing to donate \$5,000 towards the expense of fencing the park. The current donations policy requires a minimum donation of \$5,000 to have a recognition plaque affixed at the site. Currently two bids have been received for fencing installation with the expectation of receiving one more bid. It was anticipated that the cost of fencing would be approximately \$10,000 for the park, which would require additional funds to be expended from the Park Maintenance Department budget.

No person would be allowed to bring into the off-leash area a dangerous dog, a female dog in heat, more than three dogs at a time or a dog that was not wearing tags showing that it was currently licensed and vaccinated. Any person with a dog in the park must carry materials for removing and disposing of dog excreta, lead the animal on a leash when entering and exiting the area, keep the dog under visual and voice control at all times, fill in any hole dug by the dog and remove the dog from the site at the first sign of aggression.

Mr. Keprios indicated the existing ordinance, which currently does not permit dogs to be off-leash in Edina parks would be revised and placed on the June 7, 2005, Council Agenda for consideration. If approved, staff would submit a purchase order request for fencing and appropriate signage. When the park was finished, annual passes would go on sale and could be purchased online, by mail or at City Hall.

The Park Board recommended a portion of Van Valkenburg Park be developed into an off-leash dog park and recommends annual user fees of \$25.00 for residents and \$50.00 for non-residents.

Member Masica questioned what the actual acreage of the site is. Mr. Keprios said the area to be fenced would be 1.7 acres. Ms. Masica asked if there was a firm commitment for the \$5,000 donation. Mr. Keprios responded a verbal commitment has been received. Ms. Masica asked what the maintenance costs would be on the park. Mr. Keprios said maintenance should be at a minimum but could require some additional law-enforcement dollars.

Member Hulbert asked if the grass in the park would survive. Mr. Keprios said that was an unknown.

Tim Hunter, Animal Control Officer, said he would educate persons using the park about licensing and registering their dogs. He has not settled upon the type of identification method the dog would need in order to use the park. Mr. Hunter suggested the fee would be on a per dog basis because of wear and tear on enforcement at this park.

Mayor Hovland asked what type of enforcement would be used at the park. Mr. Hunter said while it was assumed that the park, like parks in other communities, would be self-policing, initially there would be more focus on the park.

Member Hulbert asked if a four-foot fence was adequate. Mr. Keprios said that was the standard height typically used in off-leash parks.

**Member Swenson moved approval of an off-leash dog park on an interim basis at Van Valkenburg Park with an annual fee of \$25.00 for residents and \$50.00 for non-residents. Member Masica seconded the motion.**

Minutes/Edina City Council/May 17, 2005

Ayes: Hulbert, Masica, Swenson, Hovland  
Motion carried.

**\*TECHNICAL CORRECTION TO MINUTES OF MARCH 1, 2005, RESOLUTION NO. 2005-18 - CHANGE TO LEGAL DESCRIPTION** Motion made by Member Swenson and seconded by Member Hulbert approving correction of the legal description to the Council Minutes of March 1, 2005, as presented.

Motion carried on rollcall vote – four ayes.

**\*CONFIRMATION OF CLAIMS PAID** Member Swenson made a motion and Member Hulbert seconded the motion approving payment of the following claims as shown in detail on the Check Register dated May 4, 2005, and consisting of 28 pages: General Fund \$300,136.10; Communications Fund \$15,938.05; Construction Fund \$1,389.90; Art Center Fund \$4,379.60; Golf Dome Fund \$1,252.03; Aquatic Center Fund \$3,435.12; Golf Course Fund \$18,780.92; Ice Arena Fund \$19,286.87; Edinborough/Centennial Lakes Fund \$19,892.34; Liquor Fund \$81,810.86; Utility Fund \$52,090.88; Storm Sewer Fund \$17,353.07; PSTF Fund \$723.69; **TOTAL \$536,469.43**; and for approval of payment of claims dated May 11, 2005, and consisting of 30 pages: General Fund \$214,814.74; Communications Fund \$3,249.65; Working Capital Fund \$13,014.59; Art Center Fund \$2,938.81; Golf Dome Fund \$16,644.46; Aquatic Center Fund \$263.92; Golf Course Fund \$32,529.87; Ice Arena Fund \$11,076.81; Edinborough/Centennial Lakes Fund \$3,721.90; Liquor Fund \$234,063.05; Utility Fund \$20,089.67; Storm Sewer Fund \$38,121.42; PSTF Fund \$1,086.20 **TOTAL \$591,615.09**.

Motion carried on rollcall vote – four ayes.

There being no further business on the Council Agenda, Mayor Hovland declared the meeting adjourned at 9:10 P.M.

---

City Clerk