

**MINUTES
OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE
EDINA CITY COUNCIL
HELD AT CITY HALL
JUNE 2, 1997 -- 7:00 P.M.**

ROLLCALL Answering rollcall were Members Faust, Hovland, Kelly, Maetzold and Mayor Smith.

CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS APPROVED Motion made by Member Hovland and seconded by Member Kelly to approve and adopt the Consent Agenda items except item I. Approval of Minutes of the Regular Meeting of May 19, 1997.

Rollcall:

Ayes: Faust, Hovland, Kelly, Maetzold, Smith

Motion carried.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF MAY 19, 1997, APPROVED Motion made by Member Hovland and seconded by Member Maetzold approving the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of May 19, 1997 correcting page two paragraph two to read "Member Faust" instead of "Member Hovland".

Motion carried on rollcall vote - five ayes.

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR EDINA PROPERTIES (3917-3929 WEST 50TH STREET) CONTINUED UNTIL JULY 7, 1997 Affidavits of Notice were presented, approved and ordered placed on file.

Presentation by Planner

Planner Larsen informed Council the subject site is currently developed with a one story concrete block and brick building and is zoned Planned Commercial District-2, (PCD-2). The existing building occupies approximately one half of the site with a paved area occupying the remainder. The City's municipal parking ramp and service tunnel are located adjacent to the site on the south, the Edina Theater is east and a retail office building is west.

Planner Larsen explained Edina Properties, the proponent, has submitted Final Development Plan application to redevelop the site. The plan includes demolishing the existing structure and replacing it with a building of two stories plus a basement. The first floor is proposed to be retail, the basement a restaurant and storage, with the second floor developed as a four screen expansion to the Edina Theatre. The theater expansion would be connected to the existing theater with a walk-bridge. The plan includes widening the walk-way alley between the theater and the proposed building and would provide direct access from the ramp. In addition, a canopy to be constructed over the walk-way is proposed as a joint project with the Edina HRA.

Planner Larsen continued the Zoning Ordinance requires parking at a rate of one space for each 200 square feet of floor area for general retail. Therefore, the proposed retail space generates a need for 128 parking spaces. The theater expansion proposes 798 additional seats requiring an additional 80 seats (1 for every 10 seats), because the theater is considered part of a shopping center for parking purposes. Total parking needed by the proposed redevelopment is 208 spaces.

Planner Larsen noted receipt of a letter from Edina Properties granting an easement to the City to be exercised within ten years, for use of the "Lunds Food Store" parking lot, to construct a parking structure. The letter detailed Lunds proposed contribution to the future parking structure.

Planner Larsen also pointed out the various options shown by the "Walker Study" that had been included in the Council's background packets. He noted these are options only and not being offered as a solution to the parking issues.

Minutes/Edina City Council/June 2, 1997

Mayor Smith asked about the proposed canopy. Planner Larsen replied the canopy would cover the existing easement connecting 50th Street to the ramp. The walkway would be widened and improved with the canopy added as a joint HRA project.

Planner Larsen concluded that the Planning Commission recommended approval subject to:

1. Design modifications as recommended by the Engineering Department,
2. Payment of Parking Assessment for use of Public Parking, and
3. Development Agreement with the Edina HRA for the canopy.

Council questions included: the method and rationale used when calculating parking density, the parking survey and its limitations, holiday season parking concerns, concern over potential loss of customers to the 50th Street & France Avenue retail area because of parking & traffic congestion, potential for development of signage directing theater customers to lesser used ramps, redevelopment plan previously approved by Council, setback from 50th Street of proposed building, exterior finish of proposed building, and the dynamics of parking demand generated by the theater's matinees during peak retail hours.

Proponent Presentation

John Pazahinick, Edina Properties introduced Steve Qualkinbush of the Edina Theater and Bob Lucias of RSP Design.

Mr. Qualkinbush stated he is a downtown merchant and concerned with the 50th Street & France Avenue business climate. However, the motion picture business is going through changes, (moving to a large number of screens in each theater). He noted the size of each auditorium is growing smaller while more movies are being released each year. The present Edina Theater is wonderful but in the future it will be difficult to compete with the multi-screen complexes. If Edina Theater cannot compete they will no longer be able to show first run movies. However, his opinion was that a theater with eight screens can compete very well with the other complexes.

Mr. Qualkinbush explained the statistical data on attendance previously sent to Council and discussed with the Council the theater's impact on parking. He explained theater patrons arrive about ½ hour before the movie, the movie runs, patrons leave and ½ hour is needed for clean up then the cycle repeats. Currently, the Edina Theater staggers the showing time of each screen so people are not all coming and going at once. Mr. Qualkinbush explained his highest attendance audiences are typically the evening shows.

Public Comment

Betsy Violante, 4607 Arden Avenue read a statement stating her opposition to the proposed redevelopment. Her reasons included increased traffic congestion, parking issues and that the business will change the nature of the 50th Street & France Avenue area.

Mayor Smith asked the City Attorney if the City has the opportunity to deny this type of business. Attorney Gilligan replied the City cannot base either approval or denial on the business type. The decision must be based on whether the proposed redevelopment meets code.

Hosmer Brown, Edina resident and business owner in the 50th Street & France Avenue District, voiced his concerns with the impact of the redevelopment on the traffic and parking in the area. Mr. Brown observed the merchants must be able to serve their customers during the peak time such as Saturday afternoons to survive. He stated the area is not intended to be a shopping mall. Mr. Brown believes the proposed building is too large for the area and urged denial of the project. Mr. Brown requested Council preserve the ambiance of the 50th Street & France Avenue District. He also asked about staging during the construction.

Al Larson, co-owner of the doctors' building located next to the proposed redevelopment, stated the project will have a significant impact on their property. Mr. Larson stated his building is twenty years old and was built to what he thought was maximum height. Further, Mr. Larson opposes a ramp as outlined being built at the Lunds Food Store. If a ramp is required why would Lunds only pay 20%. Mr. Larson asked where the remaining 80% would come from.

Manager Rosland explained the remaining 80% funding to build a parking ramp would come from Tax Increment Financing if it is still available, otherwise it would come from a special assessment of the 50th Street & France Avenue businesses.

Meg Mannix, 4511 Arden Avenue, presented a petition circulated in her neighborhood containing approximately 70 different property owners' signatures. The petition states the neighbors oppose the Edina Properties development due to scale and traffic congestion. Ms. Mannix urged denial of the proposal.

Ann Scoggen, 4610 Arden Avenue, stated she agreed with the previous comments regarding the proposed development. Ms. Scoggen asked if the development needs a variance for height because she believes this is a four story building in disguise. Ms. Scoggen felt the reason for expansion of the theater was not compelling to granting a variance.

Planner Larsen explained the building meets Edina's Code and the variance needed would be from parking standards. He added there are special parking provisions in the 50th Street & France Avenue Business District.

Anne Nightingale, 4611 Arden Avenue, noted she had been before Council many times relative to traffic issues in her neighborhood. She added every change opens doors to a change in the tenor of life in the area and urged Council to preserve the character of the neighborhood.

Gene Haugland, 5229 West Highwood Drive, one of the partners owning the building where The Lotus is located expressed concern with parking problems during the times movies are letting out and patrons for the following show are arriving. Mr. Haugland stated there is a large problem on the south side of 50th Street. Mr. Haugland also believes there is a need for a plan to deal with trash, snow removal, deliveries, etc. before the proposed redevelopment is approved.

Perry Anderson, owner of Belleson's, stated the proposed building is too large, parking is an enormous concern, traffic congestion will increase, and the 50th Street & France Avenue merchants will experience a decrease in customers. Mr. Anderson added the proposed redevelopment should include a parking plan. He submitted a petition opposing the redevelopment signed by merchants in the 50th Street and France Avenue area. Mr. Anderson asked what causes a procedural variance.

Planner Larsen explained the Zoning Ordinance noted four sites in the original 50th Street & France Avenue redevelopment plan anticipated to redevelop with a maximum Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of 1.5. The subject site is one of the four sites denoted in the original plan. The other sites include the doctors' building, Hosmer Brown's property, and the Arby's site. These four sites are the only sites allowed to building to the 1.5 FAR density.

Ann Simonson, 4502 Golf Terrace urged denial of the proposal. She believes any change will change the character of the neighborhood. The neighborhood has already suffered the loss of Clancy's.

Betsy Violante, asked if any guidelines exist in the ordinances regarding traffic. Staff responded no such guidelines exist.

Minutes/Edina City Council/June 2, 1997

James Grotz, 5513 Park Place asked what happens in the future if the theater does not succeed, then what can the building be remodeled into.

Planner Larsen stated they could remodel the same floor area that exists. If a theater did not exist the parking required for the site goes back to 130 spaces.

Council Discussion/Action

Member Faust noted in her opinion the traffic study was not correct. She expressed concern over the problems arising during the holiday season when retail merchants must do 70-80% of their annual business. Member Faust questioned the chances of renting an additional 14,000 square feet considering the problems with Clancy's. Member Faust stated she believes the only feasible site for a future ramp is the Arby's site.

Member Hovland commented judgments must be based on facts and pointed out the importance of the "village" feel. He noted the concept of "Growing Small" and questioned whether the proposed development was in tune with this concept. Member Hovland expressed concern about surface traffic congestion and the over-emphasis of one type of business. He suggested multiple types of business would be better and pointed that the needs of all the businesses in the area must be looked after in decision making.

Member Kelly observed the proposed redevelopment was a good design, but noted his concerns: theater parking, especially on Saturday, needs to be directed to the ramp between 49th Street and 49½ Street, Edina Properties should pay for more than 20% of the cost of building a ramp if the City loses tax increment financing ability, construction staging will have a major impact and needs to be planned as soon as possible, and exactly what impact will the theater expansion have on parking.

Member Maetzold supported the proposed redevelopment. He believes it will bring additional customers to the 50th Street & France Avenue area. Member Maetzold continued that evening parking should not be a problem, but expressed concern with Saturday peak parking demand during matinees and holiday parking. He also noted concern with increased traffic congestion and construction staging, noting the sidewalk should not be blocked or foot traffic stopped.

Mayor Smith noted the problems with traffic in the area and persons going east on 50th Street turning into the neighborhood in an effort to avoid 50th Street are challenges. He reminded that France Avenue was designed with one lane to make the area look like a small town. Mayor Smith suggested a long term parking plan be developed and put into place before the tax increment financing funds are no longer available. He reminded that two stories is not 46 feet and will not be four stories of use. Mayor Smith noted he would like to see the building set back from France Avenue, but he liked the overall design as proposed.

Member Maetzold stated he would support the proposal with the added condition, the developer promulgates a plan with options to handle holiday season parking.

Member Kelly agreed he could support the redevelopment, adding the developer must provide a greater financing contingency in case tax increment financing is lost. In addition, prior to approval a construction staging management plan be developed.

Member Faust stated she does not support the proposal because a building four stories in height will take away the village feel of the area.

Member Hovland noted he has reservations but is willing to look at issues. He felt an analysis of construction effect on the surrounding business is needed, that the overall size of the building is perhaps too large, and there are limitations on this site that need review.

Mayor Smith directed staff to research concepts for: long range traffic in the district, parking concerns, tentative cost sharing formula agreement with Edina Properties for potential parking structure construction, parking options to solve peaking parking issues, identify and review the floor area ratio of future redevelopment sites and construction staging management plans.

Manager Rosland responded that staff would bring back the requested information to the Council at their July 7, 1997 meeting.

Attorney Gilligan pointed out that Edina Properties would need to agree to an extension if no action were taken until a later date.

John Pazahinick, representing Edina Properties agreed to an extension from action.

Member Hovland made a motion continuing action of the Final Development Plan for 3917-3929 West 50th Street until July 7, 1997 with the consent of the proponent. Member Maetzold seconded the motion.

Ayes: Hovland, Kelly, Maetzold, Smith

Nay: Faust

Motion carried.

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AND PLAT APPROVAL 5229 EDEN AVENUE FOUR S PROPERTIES DENIED AND FINDINGS ARE REQUESTED FOR JUNE 16, 1997 Affidavits of Notice were presented, approved and ordered placed on file.

Presentation by Planner

Planner Larsen noted the City has received an application for final development plan and plat approval of 5229 Eden Avenue from Four S Properties. The subject site is west of TH 100, south of Eden Avenue, contains approximately six acres and is occupied by an abandoned industrial use building.

Over the last ten years a number of different proposals have been heard and acted upon by the City Council for reuse of this property and other properties in the vicinity. The 1980 Comprehensive Plan called for an evaluation of the Grandview Commercial Area to see if it met the test for a redevelopment plan. The evaluation was completed and in 1984 the City Council adopted a tax increment redevelopment plan for the Grandview Area. Following adoption of the plan, in 1985 a study by BRW recommended reuse with either a multi-family residential or office-showroom development. In 1996 Hoisington-Koegler recommended redevelopment for general office use of a combination of office and residential uses.

Mayor Smith asked if the City had entertained a proposal from Rainbow Foods and Jerry's and numerous other proposals that were all upgrades from the current industrial zoning. Planner Larsen replied the Rainbow was the only proposal receiving a denial from Council, the other proposals fell apart for various reasons before reaching Council. He stated there have been a residential proposal, proposals that included Jerry's and the school bus garage, and most recently the office with industrial reuse of the property to the south (Kunz property), and all the proposals were in Planner Larsen's opinion upgrades from the industrial zoning.

Planner Larsen noted the current proposal is a request to construct a mini-storage warehousing facility proposed for the entire Lewis site exclusive of any other property. He noted the present proposal originally needed variances in two areas: a drive aisle variance and a setback variance from the requirement providing a ten foot planting area adjacent to the building. After the Planning Commission met the proponent revised the original plans to eliminate the drive aisle variance, and to provide the required planting area on the north side of buildings C, D, E, and F. However, the ten

Minutes/Edina City Council/June 2, 1997

foot planting area variance is still needed for the remainder of the project. Planner Larsen passed out to the Council a number of color photographs submitted by the proponent illustrating various locations in Edina not in conformance with the ten foot planting area. He also noted the applicant's attorney requested, the packet prepared by the attorney and hand delivered to the Mayor and City Council be made a part of the official record along with a packet passed out at the May 28, 1997, Planning Commission meeting.

Planner Larsen explained the history of the area is the basis for the staff recommendation and the Planning Commission recommendation for denial of the proposed Final Development Plan. If after hearing all the evidence the Council concurs with the recommendations, staff suggests Council refer the matter back to staff to prepare findings and to continue the hearing until June 16, 1997.

Mayor Smith noted the receipt sometime over the weekend at his home of legal information. He confirmed with the Planner the purpose of the hearing is to hear a development proposal. Planner Larsen confirmed the Mayor's statement.

Proponent Presentation

Bill Griffith, 1500 Norwest Financial Center, Bloomington, introduced himself representing Four S Properties, the applicant, in the matter. He presented a graphic depiction of the proposal to be referred to during his presentation. Mr. Griffith stated Four S is a local developer of mini-storage in the Twin Cities and they also develop projects out of town. He introduced Pat Stotesbery and Todd Jones of Four S Properties, Greg Ingraham, planner and landscape architect, Roger Anderson, engineer, and Bob Copeland, contractor for the project.

Mr. Griffith reviewed the design aspects and landscaping proposed for the project, noting the developer has in his opinion, gone beyond the minimums to provide an attractive facility. Mr. Griffith pointed out the security fence encircling the project would provide access only to patrons of the storage facility. He added generally someone is on duty twenty-four hours a day. The facility is accessed through a pass key system. Mr. Griffith pointed out the proposed ponding area to provide for surface water treatment.

Mr. Griffith stated his purpose was to address standards for approval of the proposal. He noted the legal packet sent to the Council over the weekend. Mr. Griffith stated he felt the legal packet necessary considering the action taken by the City Council. First, is the initiation of R-1 down-zoning of the property to use a holding zone until a determination is made what zoning designation it should have. Also in recent weeks the City has initiated condemnation proceedings placing the proponent in an adverse position with the City. Mr. Griffith pointed out these items stating they are the basis for his legalistic presentation.

Mayor Smith informed Mr. Griffith the Council would entertain a presentation relative to the development, however, it would not listen to what a Council can and cannot do in a development proceeding. He reminded Mr. Griffith that the Council had not yet voted either positively or negatively on Mr. Griffith's clients' project.

Mr. Griffith stated his intent to present his clients' proposal and at the same time point out legal tenets. He assured the Mayor the City Attorney could advise the Council on the appropriateness of his presentation. Mayor Smith asked Attorney Gilligan to respond. Attorney Gilligan stated Mr. Griffith could present data pertinent to the development proposal, however, it was unnecessary and inadvisable to get into a legal argument before the Council. Mayor Smith directed Mr. Griffith to continue with his presentation including only the development issues.

Mr. Griffith stated his intent to continue with his presentation as part of the public hearing record. Mayor Smith instructed Mr. Griffith to continue with his presentation relative to the proposed development and not the legal issues. Mayor Smith stated Mr. Griffith would have an opportunity to

Speak to the legal issues after the Council has actually voted on the proposal. Mr. Griffith protested being asked to present only the development issues at this time.

Mr. Griffith went on to describe in detail the proposed mini-storage development of Four S Properties. Mr. Griffith stated all the consultants were instructed to develop a plan in compliance with existing City Code and that is what the proponents are presenting. Major elements include a low profile split face brick mini-storage facility with a significant landscape plan. Trip generation will be less than residential developments. He pointed a traffic study supporting his contention. Local residents within three quarters of a mile will be customers of the project. Site topography also contributes to the low impact of the project. The proposed project provides a market based redevelopment meeting the City's redevelopment goals for a "blighted" site.

Member Kelly asked Mr. Griffith if he thought the site was "blighted" and did Mr. Griffith's client think the site was "blighted". Mr. Griffith responded in his opinion the determination was made by the City eleven years ago and yes the site was blighted. Mr. Griffith indicated he has not discussed this condition with his client. Member Kelly requested the record reflect the developer declined to answer the question. Mr. Griffith claimed he felt he had answered adequately.

Mr. Griffith stated the variance required by the City is a point of contention because allowing mini-storage as an allowable industrial use makes the requirement for a ten foot planting area incompatible. He referred to the drawing passed out earlier depicting mini-storage with planting areas. Mr. Griffith contended requiring the planting area makes the storage facility not usable.

Greg Ingraham, planner and landscape architect, stated the interpretation requiring foundation planting area in Edina's Code is an incorrect interpretation of the code. Mr. Ingraham believes this because he said the code says setback not planting area, there are no projects that totally comply with the requirement. He continued there is a fundamental problem with requiring foundation planting area around a storage facility because it does not further the basic tenet of zoning (public health, safety and welfare) Mr. Ingraham stated he did not see how the public good was served by the planting requirement. He continued that applying the foundation requirement would make the facility unusable.

Mr. Griffith stated his main concern in presenting his clients' proposal is the redevelopment plan of the City. Mr. Griffith contended the redevelopment plan is not reflected in the land use designation or zoning classification of the subject property. Mr. Griffith stated Planner Larsen at the last Planning Commission meeting indicated he thought industrial use would give the city the most control as it looks to acquire and redevelop the property.

Mr. Griffith stated it is the City Council's job to apply the rules as written. He stated his client has a very good proposal and urged approval as presented. Mr. Griffith stated the plan deserves to be approved.

Pat Stotesbery, applicant, indicated his company had been discussing a deal for a long time with Gordon Lewis before they made this purchase with the idea that there is not any mini-storage in the immediate area. He thought the project would be a good use for the City and its residents so they put together a quality plan.

Member Faust asked what the exterior of the facility is proposed and why was a blue roof proposed.

Todd Jones, Four S Properties, replied the brick is a split face masonry decorative block around the entire project. The blue roof was thought by the designers to be aesthetically pleasing when tied in with the gray buildings.

Minutes/Edina City Council/June 2, 1997

Mayor Smith asked about storm water ponding on the site. Mr. Jones stated the storm water pond is on the north end of the site near the Sioux Line Railroad tracks and is designed to handle all the storm water from the site. Roger Anderson, civil engineer for Four S, stated he designed the storm water management plan in compliance with the Minnehaha Watershed District guidelines. He said the Walker method of design was used to provide for both the water quality and the detention of the water. He noted the pond is located at the low point of the site and is designed in accordance with the aforementioned standards.

Mayor Smith asked Attorney Gilligan to advise the Council on the next step in this process. Attorney Gilligan replied that if Council intends to proceed with the condemnation action, the appropriate action would be to deny the development and request staff to prepare findings of fact and conclusions of law to be presented at a future meeting. Then the proponent would have an opportunity to respond to the findings of fact and conclusions of law.

Mayor Smith gave the proponent another opportunity to address the Council, noting he would have an opportunity to address Council on June 16, 1997 when the findings of fact and conclusions of law would be presented. Mr. Griffith declined further comment as long as they can have an opportunity to respond to the findings.

Public Comment

Walter Kunz, 5200 Eden Circle, reminded the Council they do have a legal issue currently with Mr. Lewis. He stated the lawyers are trying to decide who has the right to the land. Mr. Kunz expressed concern regarding the legal sixteen foot easement he has on the Lewis property. He stated the easement grants him twenty-four hour unrestricted access to the property for his use. If Mr. Kunz loses this easement he will have to rearrange his building. If a corner of his building is removed he will have clear access to the easement and the card lock system is not acceptable to him.

Member Kelly made a motion requesting staff to prepare findings of fact and conclusions of law relative to the denial of the application for final development plan and plat of Four S Properties to be presented to the City Council meeting June 16, 1997. Member Hovland seconded the motion.

Rollcall:

Ayes: Faust, Hovland, Kelly, Maetzold, Smith

Motion carried.

***HEARING DATE SET FOR PLANNING MATTERS Motion of Member Hovland seconded by Member Kelly setting June 16, 1997 for the hearing the following planning matters:**

- 1. Preliminary Rezoning - PID Planned Industrial District to R-1 Single Dwelling Unit District, 5229 Eden Avenue, City of Edina.**
- 2. Preliminary plat - Halla Division, 6601 Mohawk Trail, Don and Sally Halla**

Motion carried on rollcall vote - five ayes.

ORDINANCE NO.1997-6 AMENDING SECTION 155 DISPOSAL OF UNCLAIMED PROPERTY

Police Chief Bernhjelm explained staff contacted Edina organizations, but none were willing to take on the purchase the City's unclaimed property for resale. He reviewed the advantages of amending our Code to allow the change:

- Reducing overcrowding in our property storage areas through more frequent disposal of property,
- Reduction of staff time for planning and conducting an annual auction, and
- Revenue is estimated to remain the same or change only slightly.

Chief Bernhjelm stated staff has been looking at using a non-profit organization called City Store. This organization is a training facility located in the Philips neighborhood of Minneapolis. He

recommended Council grant first reading of the ordinance and staff will pursue obtaining proposals for contracts from qualified agencies.

Member Maetzold made a motion granting first reading to Ordinance No. 1997-6 amending Section 155 Disposal of Unclaimed Property. Member Faust seconded the motion.

Ayes: Faust, Hovland, Kelly, Maetzold, Smith
Motion carried.

***BID AWARDED FOR IMPROVEMENT NO. A-181 MILL & OVERLAY OF W. 70TH STREET, AND GLEASON AND CAHILL ROAD** Motion made by Member Hovland and seconded by Member Kelly for award of bid for Improvement Project No. A-181 to mill and overlay W. 70th Street between Metro Boulevard and Amundson Avenue and between France Avenue and Xerxes Avenue, Gleason Road between W. 70th Street and W. 78th Street and Cahill Road between Valley View Road and Dewey Hill Road to recommended low bidder Northwest Asphalt, Inc. at \$256,998.75

Motion carried on rollcall vote - five ayes.

BID AWARDED FOR BRAEMAR GOLF DOME REPLACEMENT Manager Rosland explained staff had concerns about wear in portions of the golf dome and decided it was prudent to replace the dome this year. However, the original vendor is alleging some patent infringement. Staff has reviewed the issues. Attorney Gilligan does not believe there is an infringement. Manager Rosland recommended replacing the fabric portion of the dome if the City could secure a hold harmless from the vendor Yeadon Fabric Dome/Airena, Inc.

Member Faust moved, seconded by Member Maetzold to award the bid including all five deductions for the Braemar Golf Dome Replacement to the recommended low bidder Yeadon Fabric Dome/Airena, Inc. at \$386,205.00 contingent upon the City securing a hold harmless agreement from the vendor.

Ayes: Faust, Hovland, Kelly, Maetzold, Smith
Motion carried.

***BID AWARDED FOR ONE TON FORD PICK UP TRUCK, CENTENNIAL LAKES PARK MAINTENANCE** Motion of Member Hovland, seconded by Member Kelly to award the bid for a 1997 One-ton Ford pick-up truck to recommended lower bidder Boyer Ford Truck at \$20,387.00.

TRAFFIC TRAILER REPORT Police Chief Bernhjelm stated the Police Department is recommending purchase of a speed monitor trailer. He recommended the Mighty Mover Safety View Model Trailer. Features include an 18" lighted display, flashing speed over set limit, alarm, wheel lock and one-way speed detection. The unit could be deployed around the City based on public requests and needs as determined by City staff. The trailer is intended to be an unmanned device with enough battery power to be deployed for up to six days at one time without recharging.

Chief Bernhjelm added the quoted price is \$9,555.04. The unit can be adapted to gather speed and volume traffic data by using a traffic counter for an additional cost of approximately \$2,100. Graphics if desired could be done locally at a cost of \$245. Manager Rosland advised that contingency fund could be used for the purchase.

Member Maetzold make a motion authorizing the purchase of a traffic trailer as recommended by the Police Chief. Member Faust seconded the motion.

Ayes: Faust, Hovland, Kelly, Maetzold, Smith
Motion carried.

Minutes/Edina City Council/June 2, 1997

***PETITION RECEIVED FOR WATERMAIN EXTENSION, THE COVENTRY** Motion of Member Hovland, seconded by Member Kelly to receive the petition for watermain extension for the Coventry Water Main 4th Phase and to refer the petition to engineering for processing as to feasibility.

Motion carried on a rollcall vote - five ayes.

ASSOCIATION OF METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITIES (AMM) INITIATIVE REVIEWED Member Maetzold reported to Council the initiatives discussed at the AMM annual meeting he and Manager Rosland attended as follows: increase membership, enhance the relationship between cities and legislators, Livable Communities Act and Metropolitan Growth, and the Preservation and Maintenance of Affordable Housing.

CLAIMS PAID Member Maetzold made a motion to approve payment of the following claims as shown in detail on the Check Register dated May 28, 1997, and consisting of 28 pages: General Fund \$211,726.93; CDBG \$379.50; Communications \$3,401.24; Working Capital \$17,227.98; Art Center \$7,855.40; Swimming Pool Fund \$1,996.72; Golf Course Fund \$49,785.24; Ice Arena Fund \$2,464.09; Gun Range Fund \$99.49; Edinborough/Centennial Lakes \$11,697.23; Utility Fund \$328,031.18; Storm Sewer Utility Fund \$588.38; Liquor Dispensary Fund \$176,801.52; Construction Fund \$5,067.50; Park Bond Fund \$163,026.34; **TOTAL \$980,148.74** Member Hovland seconded the motion.

Rollcall:

Ayes: Faust, Hovland, Kelly, Maetzold, Smith

Motion carried.

There being no further business on the Council Agenda, Mayor Smith declared the meeting adjourned at 11:58 P.M.

City Clerk