



**To:** MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

**Agenda Item #:** VIII. A.

**From:** Scott H. Neal, City Manager

**Action**

**Discussion**

**Date:** August 18, 2015

**Information**

**Subject:** Proposed Franchise Agreement With Excel Energy, Ordinance No. 2015-12

### **Action Requested:**

Adopt Proposed Ordinance 2015-012 Approving a new Franchise Agreement with Xcel Energy

### **Background:**

On March 3, 2015, I presented the Council with a proposed process and engagement plan for renewal of the Xcel Energy franchise agreement. After seeking input from Council Members and City staff, I prepared a draft franchise agreement, which was considered by the Energy & Environment Commission on May 14. The comments from the EEC were incorporated into the franchise proposal, including the creation of a proposed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) called the Edina Clean Energy Partnership that is based on a similar MOU between Xcel Energy and the City of Minneapolis.

I hosted a two hour public open house on June 25 to enable members of the public with ideas, suggestions or concerns to share them at an early stage in the drafting of the agreement. There were no participants at the open house. Following the open house, I reviewed the proposed franchise agreement with representatives from Xcel Energy on July 2 to seek their initial reactions to the draft franchise agreement.

The City Council conducted a public hearing on the draft franchise agreement on July 21 to allow interested members of the public one final opportunity to influence Council Members concerning the proposed franchise agreement. One citizen testified. Following this public hearing, I requested final direction from Council Members on areas of material change in order for the final draft of the ordinance to be prepared for consideration at your August 5, 2105 meeting.

The draft franchise agreement reviewed at the July 21 public hearing included five areas of material change compared to the current franchise:

1. 3.8.1 COMPANY SHALL PROVIDE CITY WITH A COMMUNITY-WIDE NETWORK OF FULLY FUNCTIONING STREET LIGHTS. COMPANY SHALL MAKE REASONABLE EFFORTS TO RESPOND AND REPAIR MALFUNCTIONING STREET LIGHTS WITHIN 48 HOURS OF NOTIFICATION BY CITY.
2. 3.8.2 COMPANY SHALL PROVIDE CITY THE LOCATION OF COMPANY OWNED STREET LIGHTS WITHIN THE CITY, TO BE UPDATED AT LEAST ANNUALLY.

3. 3.8.3 COMPANY SHALL UPGRADE, AT COMPANY COST, CITY'S NETWORK OF STREET LIGHTS TO LED STREET LIGHTS ON OR BEFORE JANUARY 1, 2018.

4. 3.9.1 COMPANY AGREES THAT THE APPEARANCE OF ITS GROUND AND POLE MOUNTED EQUIPMENT WITHIN CITY PROPERTY, RIGHT-OF-WAY AND EASEMENT SHALL NOT BE ALLOWED TO BECOME VISUALLY DISPLEASING. COMPANY AGREES TO REMEDY VISUALLY DEFECTIVE EQUIPMENT WITHIN 90 DAYS, WEATHER PERMITTING, OF A REQUEST TO REMEDY FROM CITY.

5. 9.2.1 ENERGY CONSERVATION FEE. COMPANY AGREES TO ASSESS A \$0.50/MONTH CHARGE TO EACH CUSTOMER, REGARDLESS OF CUSTOMER CLASS, AND TO REMIT SUCH AMOUNT TO CITY AT THE SAME TIME AND METHOD AS THE FRANCHISE FEE, FOR THE PURPOSE OF FUNDING CITY'S ENERGY CONSERVATION PROGRAM.

ECEP - In addition to the five areas of material change, the Energy & Environment Commission recommended the City enter into a Memorandum of Understanding with Xcel Energy to create the "Edina Clean Energy Partnership". The Edina Clean Energy Partnership (ECEP) is based on a similar agreement that Xcel has with the City of Minneapolis called the Minneapolis Clean Energy Partnership. The ECEP is intended to address a number of supplementary energy issues that cannot be included in the franchise agreement itself. I have included a draft copy of the proposed ECEP with the Council packet materials. Xcel does not wish to have a Clean Energy Partnership with the City of Edina. Xcel believes the Partners In Energy (PIE) program will adequately address the energy issues in the ECEP.

### **Information:**

Before I provide a review of the outstanding franchise issues, it is necessary to note a proposed structural change that has evolved in the discussion with Xcel Energy about the franchise agreement. The company has requested that City agree to consider the franchise agreement without the requested changes. The company's strong preference is to make their commitments to the City's requests, where applicable, through a side letter or MOU, and not to incorporate them into the franchise agreement itself. They prefer this method of commitment because they prefer to have the same franchise agreement components in all of their cities. I recommend the City Council accept this request from the company, provided the side letter or MOU is executed by someone at the company who is authorized to honor the company's future commitments to the City.

As of the writing of this memorandum for the August 5 Council Packet, the status of the material changes under discussion is as follows:

1. 3.8.1 COMPANY SHALL PROVIDE CITY WITH A COMMUNITY-WIDE NETWORK OF FULLY FUNCTIONING STREET LIGHTS. COMPANY SHALL MAKE REASONABLE EFFORTS TO RESPOND AND REPAIR MALFUNCTIONING STREET LIGHTS WITHIN 48 HOURS OF NOTIFICATION BY CITY.

Xcel: The company requests this provision be modified to:

*Company shall provide City with a community-wide network of fully functioning street lights.  
Company shall make reasonable efforts to respond and repair malfunctioning street lights within two business days of notification by City.*

SHN: I recommend the Council approve the requested amendment.

2. 3.8.2 COMPANY SHALL PROVIDE CITY THE LOCATION OF COMPANY OWNED STREET LIGHTS WITHIN THE CITY, TO BE UPDATED AT LEAST ANNUALLY.

Xcel: The company requests this item be withdrawn from the agreement because they are already meeting this standard.

SHN: I recommend the Council accept the company's request to withdraw this item. According to City staff, Xcel's performance in this area has been very good and dependable.

3. 3.8.3 COMPANY SHALL UPGRADE, AT COMPANY COST, CITY'S NETWORK OF STREET LIGHTS TO LED STREET LIGHTS ON OR BEFORE JANUARY 1, 2018.

Xcel: The company does not agree to this request.

SHN: I recommend the City continue to insist on a stronger commitment from the company on when the LED upgrade project will be completed. I have proposed the following alternative language to the company, which the company does not agree to:

*The company agrees to substantially complete an LED upgrade of the City's network of cobra head street lights, at the company's cost, on or before December 31, 2018, provided the company receives approval from the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (PUC) for the upgrade plan on or before March 31, 2016. If the PUC does not approve the company's plan on or before March 31, 2016, the company agrees to complete the LED street light upgrade project in Edina within 24 months of the PUC's approval of the plan.*

The company's counter-proposal was:

*The company agrees to substantially complete an LED upgrade of the City's company-owned network of cobra head street lights, at the company's cost. The Company agrees to make every reasonable effort to complete the LED conversion of cobra head street lights on or before December 31, 2018, provided the company receives approval from the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (PUC) for the upgrade plan on or before March 31, 2016. The company agrees to inform the City of any delays that arise that may result in the LED upgrades taking place beyond December 31, 2018.*

4. 3.9.1 COMPANY AGREES THAT THE APPEARANCE OF ITS GROUND AND POLE MOUNTED EQUIPMENT WITHIN CITY PROPERTY, RIGHT-OF-WAY AND EASEMENT SHALL NOT BE ALLOWED TO BECOME VISUALLY DISPLEASING. COMPANY AGREES TO REMEDY VISUALLY DEFECTIVE EQUIPMENT WITHIN 90 DAYS, WEATHER PERMITTING, OF A REQUEST TO REMEDY FROM CITY.

SHN: The company has resisted this request from the start of our discussion. I have offered them the following alternative language:

*The company agrees to maintain its ground equipment in well maintained condition. The company agrees to make reasonable efforts to respond to the City's requests to repair and maintain the aesthetic appearance of its ground based equipment. The company agrees to record and log complaints and repair information regarding its ground based equipment, and to provide the information and confer with the City regarding the company's quality and timeliness of the company's response.*

Xcel: The company does not agree to this request and has countered with the following:

*The company agrees to maintain its ground equipment in well maintained condition. The company agrees to make reasonable efforts to respond to the City's requests to repair and maintain the aesthetic appearance of its ground based equipment.*

5. 9.2.1 ENERGY CONSERVATION FEE. COMPANY AGREES TO ASSESS A \$0.50/MONTH CHARGE TO EACH CUSTOMER, REGARDLESS OF CUSTOMER CLASS, AND TO REMIT SUCH AMOUNT TO CITY AT THE SAME TIME AND METHOD AS THE FRANCHISE FEE, FOR THE PURPOSE OF FUNDING CITY'S ENERGY CONSERVATION PROGRAM.

Xcel: The company agrees to this request to increase the franchise by \$0.50/customer/month, but cannot agree, due to PUC regulations, to label the franchise fee increase as an "Energy Conservation Fee" on the customer bill. The company also requests that the same \$0.50/customer/month franchise fee be applied to the customers of CenterPoint Energy.

SHN: I recommend the City accept the company's agreement on this request, including the request to apply the franchise fee increase to CenterPoint Energy customers. If approved by Council, staff will prepare a separate franchise fee ordinance for both utilities for the consideration by the Council at your August 18 meeting.

6. The City proposed the creation of a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) establishing the Edina Clean Energy Partnership (ECEP). The company proposed an alternative called the Partners In Energy (PIE) program. The City and the company have executed an MOU to create the Edina Partners in Energy program, which negates the need for the proposed ECEP. I recommend the City Council withdraw the request to create the ECEP

## **Attachment**

**NOTE:** As of press time for the Council Packet, the company and I are still engaged in active discussions about the outstanding issues. I expect to update this memorandum with new information prior to our August 5 Council meeting.

**ORDINANCE NO. 2015-12  
CITY OF EDINA, HENNEPIN COUNTY, MINNESOTA**

**AN ORDINANCE GRANTING TO NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY, A MINNESOTA CORPORATION, D/B/A XCEL ENERGY, ITS SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS, PERMISSION TO CONSTRUCT, OPERATE, REPAIR AND MAINTAIN IN THE CITY OF EDINA, MINNESOTA, AN ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM AND TRANSMISSION LINES, INCLUDING NECESSARY POLES, LINES, FIXTURES AND APPURTENANCES, FOR THE FURNISHING OF ELECTRIC ENERGY TO THE CITY, ITS INHABITANTS, AND OTHERS, AND TO USE THE PUBLIC GROUNDS AND PUBLIC WAYS OF THE CITY FOR SUCH PURPOSES.**

**THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EDINA, HENNEPIN COUNTY, MINNESOTA, ORDAINS:**

**SECTION I. DEFINITIONS.**

For purposes of this Ordinance, the following capitalized terms listed in alphabetical order shall have the following meanings:

- I.1 **City.** The City of Edina, County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota.
- I.2 **City Utility System.** Facilities used for providing non-energy related public utility service owned or operated by City or agency thereof, including sewer and water service, but excluding facilities for providing heating, lighting or other forms of energy.
- I.3 **Commission.** The Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, or any successor agency or agencies, including an agency of the federal government, which preempts all, or part of the authority to regulate electric retail rates now vested in the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission.
- I.4 **Company.** Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation, d/b/a Xcel Energy, its successors and assigns.
- I.5 **Electric Facilities.** Electric transmission and distribution towers, poles, lines, guys, anchors, conduits, fixtures, and necessary appurtenances owned or operated by Company for the purpose of providing electric energy for public use.
- I.6 **Notice.** A written notice served by one party on the other party referencing one or more provisions of this Ordinance. Notice to Company shall be mailed to the General Counsel, 414 Nicollet Mall, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Minneapolis, MN 55401. Notice to the City shall be mailed to the City Administrator, City Hall, 481 West 50<sup>th</sup> Street, Edina, MN 55424. Either party may change its respective address for the purpose of this Ordinance by written notice to the other party.
- I.7 **Public Ground.** Land owned by the City for park, open space or similar purpose, which is held for use in common by the public.

1.8 **Public Way.** Any street, alley, walkway or other public right-of-way within the City.

## **SECTION 2. ADOPTION OF FRANCHISE.**

2.1 **Grant of Franchise.** City hereby grants Company, for a period of 20 years from the date passed and approved by the City, the right to transmit and furnish electric energy for light, heat, power and other purposes for public and private use within and through the limits of the City as its boundaries now exist or as they may be extended in the future. For these purposes, Company may construct, operate, repair and maintain Electric Facilities in, on, over, under and across the Public Grounds and Public Ways of City, subject to the provisions of this Ordinance. Company may do all reasonable things necessary or customary to accomplish these purposes, subject, however, to such reasonable regulations as may be imposed by the City pursuant to ordinance and to the further provisions of this franchise agreement.

2.2 **Effective Date; Written Acceptance.** This franchise agreement shall be in force and effect from and after passage of this Ordinance, its acceptance by Company, and its publication as required by law. The City, by Council resolution, may revoke this franchise agreement if Company does not file a written acceptance with the City within 90 days after publication.

2.3 **Service and Rates.** The service to be provided and the rates to be charged by Company for electric service in City are subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. The area within the City in which Company may provide electric service is subject to the provisions of Minnesota Statutes, Section 216B.40.

2.4 **Publication Expense.** The expense of publication of this Ordinance will be paid by City and reimbursed to City by Company.

2.5 **Dispute Resolution.** If either party asserts that the other party is in default in the performance of any obligation hereunder, the complaining party shall notify the other party of the default and the desired remedy. The notification shall be written. Representatives of the parties must promptly meet and attempt in good faith to negotiate a resolution of the dispute. If the dispute is not resolved within 30 days of the written notice, the parties may jointly select a mediator to facilitate further discussion. The parties will equally share the fees and expenses of this mediator. If a mediator is not used, or if the parties are unable to resolve the dispute within 30 days after first meeting with the selected mediator, either party may commence an action in District Court to interpret and enforce this franchise or for such other relief as may be permitted by law or equity for breach of contract, or either party may take any other action permitted by law.

## **SECTION 3. LOCATION, OTHER REGULATIONS.**

3.1 **Location of Facilities.** Electric Facilities shall be located, constructed and maintained so as not to interfere with the safety and convenience of ordinary travel along and over Public Ways and so as not to disrupt normal operation of any City Utility System previously installed therein. Electric Facilities shall be located on Public Grounds as determined by the City.

Company's construction, reconstruction, operation, repair, maintenance and location of Electric Facilities shall be subject to permits if required by separate ordinance and to other reasonable regulations of the City to the extent not inconsistent with the terms of this franchise agreement. Company may abandon underground Electric Facilities in place, provided at the City's request, Company will remove abandoned metal or concrete encased conduit interfering with a City improvement project, but only to the extent such conduit is uncovered by excavation as part of the City improvement project.

3.2 Field Locations. Company shall provide field locations for its underground Electric Facilities within City consistent with the requirements of Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 216D.

3.3 Street Openings. Company shall not open or disturb any Public Ground or Public Way for any purpose without first having obtained a permit from the City, if required by a separate ordinance, for which the City may impose a reasonable fee. Permit conditions imposed on Company shall not be more burdensome than those imposed on other utilities for similar facilities or work. Company may, however, open and disturb any Public Ground or Public Way without permission from the City where an emergency exists requiring the immediate repair of Electric Facilities. In such event Company shall notify the City by telephone to the office designated by the City as soon as practicable. Not later than the second working day thereafter, Company shall obtain any required permits and pay any required fees.

3.4 Restoration. After undertaking any work requiring the opening of any Public Ground or Public Way, Company shall restore the same, including paving and its foundation, to as good a condition as formerly existed, and shall maintain any paved surface in good condition for one year thereafter. The work shall be completed as promptly as weather permits, and if Company shall not promptly perform and complete the work, remove all dirt, rubbish, equipment and material, and put the Public Ground or Public Way in the said condition, the City shall have, after demand to Company to cure and the passage of a reasonable period of time following the demand, but not to exceed five days, the right to make the restoration at the expense of Company. Company shall pay to the City the cost of such work done for or performed by the City. This remedy shall be in addition to any other remedy available to the City for noncompliance with this Section 3.4, but the City hereby waives any requirement for Company to post a construction performance bond, certificate of insurance, letter of credit or any other form of security or assurance that may be required, under a separate existing or future ordinance of the City, of a person or entity obtaining the City's permission to install, replace or maintain facilities in a Public Way.

3.5 Avoid Damage to Electric Facilities. Nothing in this Ordinance relieves any person from liability arising out of the failure to exercise reasonable care to avoid damaging Electric Facilities while performing any activity.

3.6 Notice of Improvements. The City must give Company reasonable notice of plans for improvements to Public Grounds or Public Ways where the City has reason to believe that Electric Facilities may affect or be affected by the improvement. The notice must contain: (i) the nature and character of the improvements, (ii) the Public Grounds and Public Ways upon which the improvements are to be made, (iii) the extent of the improvements, (iv) the time when the City will start the work, and (v) if more than one Public Ground or Public Way is involved, the

order in which the work is to proceed. The notice must be given to Company a sufficient length of time in advance of the actual commencement of the work to permit Company to make any necessary additions, alterations or repairs to its Electric Facilities.

3.7 Shared Use of Poles. Company shall make space available on its poles or towers for City fire, water utility, police or other City facilities upon terms and conditions acceptable to Company whenever such use will not interfere with the use of such poles or towers by Company, by another electric utility, by a telephone utility, or by any cable television company or other form of communication company. In addition, the City shall pay for any added cost incurred by Company because of such use by City.

#### **SECTION 4. RELOCATIONS.**

4.1 Relocation of Electric Facilities in Public Ways. If the City determines to vacate a Public Way for a City improvement project, or at City's cost to grade, regrade, or change the line of any Public Way, or construct or reconstruct any City Utility System in any Public Way, it may order Company to relocate its Electric Facilities located therein if relocation is reasonably necessary to accomplish the City's proposed public improvement. Except as provided in Section 4.3, Company shall relocate its Electric Facilities at its own expense. The City shall give Company reasonable notice of plans to vacate for a City improvement project, or to grade, regrade, or change the line of any Public Way or to construct or reconstruct any City Utility System. If a relocation is ordered within five years of a prior relocation of the same Electric Facilities, which was made at Company expense, the City shall reimburse Company for non-betterment costs on a time and material basis, provided that if a subsequent relocation is required because of the extension of a City Utility System to a previously unserved area, Company may be required to make the subsequent relocation at its expense. Nothing in this Ordinance requires Company to relocate, remove, replace or reconstruct at its own expense its Electric Facilities where such relocation, removal, replacement or reconstruction is solely for the convenience of the City and is not reasonably necessary for the construction or reconstruction of a Public Way or City Utility System or other City improvement.

4.2 Relocation of Electric Facilities in Public Ground. City may require Company, at Company's expense, to relocate or remove its Electric Facilities from Public Ground upon a finding by City that the Electric Facilities have become or will become a substantial impairment to the existing or proposed public use of the Public Ground.

4.3 Projects with Federal Funding. City shall not order Company to remove or relocate its Electric Facilities when a Public Way is vacated, improved or realigned for a right-of-way project or any other project which is financially subsidized in whole or in part by the Federal Government or any agency thereof, unless the reasonable non-betterment costs of such relocation are first paid to Company. The City is obligated to pay Company only for those portions of its relocation costs for which City has received federal funding specifically allocated for relocation costs in the amount requested by the Company, which allocated funding the City shall specifically request. Relocation, removal or rearrangement of any Company Electric Facilities made necessary because of a federally-aided highway project shall be governed by the provisions of Minnesota Statutes, Section 161.46, as supplemented or amended. It is understood that the rights herein granted to Company are valuable rights.

4.4 No Waiver. The provisions of this franchise apply only to facilities constructed in reliance on a franchise from the City and shall not be construed to waive or modify any rights obtained by Company for installations within a Company right-of-way acquired by easement or prescriptive right before the applicable Public Ground or Public Way was established, or Company's rights under state or county permit.

#### **SECTION 5. TREE TRIMMING.**

Company may trim all trees and shrubs in the Public Grounds and Public Ways of City to the extent Company finds necessary to avoid interference with the proper construction, operation, repair and maintenance of any Electric Facilities installed hereunder, provided that Company shall save the City harmless from any liability arising therefrom, and subject to permit or other reasonable regulation by the City.

#### **SECTION 6. INDEMNIFICATION.**

6.1 Indemnity of City. Company shall indemnify, keep and hold the City free and harmless from any and all liability on account of injury to persons or damage to property occasioned by the construction, maintenance, repair, inspection, the issuance of permits, or the operation of the Electric Facilities located in the Public Grounds and Public Ways. The City shall not be indemnified for losses or claims occasioned through its own negligence except for losses or claims arising out of or alleging the City's negligence as to the issuance of permits for, or inspection of, Company's plans or work. The City shall not be indemnified if the injury or damage results from the performance in a proper manner, of acts reasonably deemed hazardous by Company, and such performance is nevertheless ordered or directed by City after notice of Company's determination.

6.2 Defense of City. In the event a suit is brought against the City under circumstances where this agreement to indemnify applies, Company at its sole cost and expense shall defend the City in such suit if written notice thereof is promptly given to Company within a period wherein Company is not prejudiced by lack of such notice. If Company is required to indemnify and defend, it will thereafter have control of such litigation, but Company may not settle such litigation without the consent of the City, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld. This section is not, as to third parties, a waiver of any defense or immunity otherwise available to the City and Company, in defending any action on behalf of the City, shall be entitled to assert in any action every defense or immunity that the City could assert in its own behalf.

#### **SECTION 7. VACATION OF PUBLIC WAYS.**

The City shall give Company at least two weeks prior written notice of a proposed vacation of a Public Way. Except where required for a City improvement project, the vacation of any Public Way, after the installation of Electric Facilities, shall not operate to deprive Company of its rights to operate and maintain such Electric Facilities, until the reasonable cost of relocating the same and the loss and expense resulting from such relocation are first paid to Company. In no case, however, shall City be liable to Company for failure to specifically preserve a right-of-way under Minnesota Statutes, Section 160.29.

## **SECTION 8. CHANGE IN FORM OF GOVERNMENT.**

Any change in the form of government of the City shall not affect the validity of this Ordinance. Any governmental unit succeeding the City shall, without the consent of Company, succeed to all of the rights and obligations of the City provided in this Ordinance.

## **SECTION 9. FRANCHISE FEE.**

9.1 **Fee Schedule.** During the term of the franchise hereby granted, and in lieu of any permit or other fees being imposed on Company, the City may impose on Company a franchise fee set forth in a separate ordinance from each customer in the designated Company Customer Class.

9.2 **Separate Ordinance.** The franchise fee shall be imposed by a separate ordinance duly adopted by the City Council, which ordinance shall not be adopted until at least 90 days after written notice enclosing such proposed ordinance has been served upon Company by certified mail. The fee shall not become effective until the beginning of a Company billing month at least 90 days after written notice enclosing such adopted ordinance has been served upon Company by certified mail. Section 2.5 shall constitute the sole remedy for solving disputes between Company and the City in regard to the interpretation of, or enforcement of, the separate ordinance. No action by the City to implement a separate ordinance will commence until this Ordinance is effective. A separate ordinance which imposes a lesser franchise fee on the residential class of customers than the maximum amount set forth in Section 9.1 above shall not be effective against Company unless the fee imposed on each other customer classification is reduced proportionately in the same or greater amount per class as the reduction represented by the lesser fee on the residential class.

9.3 **Terms Defined.** For the purpose of this Section 9, the following definitions apply:

9.3.1 “Customer Class” shall refer to the classes listed on the Fee Schedule and as defined or determined in Company’s electric tariffs on file with the Commission.

9.3.2 “Fee Schedule” refers to the schedule in Section 9.1 setting forth the various customer classes from which a franchise fee would be collected if a separate ordinance were implemented immediately after the effective date of this franchise agreement. The Fee Schedule in the separate ordinance may include new Customer Class added by Company to its electric tariffs after the effective date of this franchise agreement.

9.4 **Collection of the Fee.** The franchise fee shall be payable quarterly and shall be based on the amount collected by Company during complete billing months during the period for which payment is to be made by imposing a surcharge equal to the designated franchise fee for the applicable customer classification in all customer billings for electric service in each class. The payment shall be due the last business day of the month following the period for which the

payment is made. The franchise fee may be changed by ordinance from time to time; however, each change shall meet the same notice requirements and not occur more often than annually and no change shall require a collection from any customer for electric service in excess of the amounts specifically permitted by this Section 9. The time and manner of collecting the franchise fee is subject to the approval of the Commission. No franchise fee shall be payable by Company if Company is legally unable to first collect an amount equal to the franchise fee from its customers in each applicable class of customers by imposing a surcharge in Company's applicable rates for electric service. Company may pay the City the fee based upon the surcharge billed subject to subsequent reductions to account for uncollectibles, refunds and correction of erroneous billings. Company agrees to make its records available for inspection by the City at reasonable times provided that the City and its designated representative agree in writing not to disclose any information which would indicate the amount paid by any identifiable customer or customers or any other information regarding identified customers.

**9.5 Equivalent Fee Requirement.** The separate ordinance imposing the fee shall not be effective against Company unless it lawfully imposes and the City monthly or more often collects a fee or tax of the same or greater equivalent amount on the receipts from sales of energy within the City by any other energy supplier, provided that, as to such a supplier, the City has the authority to require a franchise fee or to impose a tax. The "same or greater equivalent amount" shall be measured, if practicable, by comparing amounts collected as a franchise fee from each similar customer, or by comparing, as to similar customers the percentage of the annual bill represented by the amount collected for franchise fee purposes. The franchise fee or tax shall be applicable to energy sales for any energy use related to heating, cooling or lighting, or to run machinery and appliances, but shall not apply to energy sales for the purpose of providing fuel for vehicles. If the Company specifically consents in writing to a franchise or separate ordinance collecting or failing to collect a fee from another energy supplier in contravention of this Section 9.5, the foregoing conditions will be waived to the extent of such written consent.

## **SECTION 10. PROVISIONS OF ORDINANCE.**

**10.1 Severability.** Every section, provision, or part of this Ordinance is declared separate from every other section, provision, or part and if any section, provision, or part shall be held invalid, it shall not affect any other section, provision, or part. Where a provision of any other City ordinance conflicts with the provisions of this Ordinance, the provisions of this Ordinance shall prevail.

**10.2 Limitation on Applicability.** This Ordinance constitutes a franchise agreement between the City and Company as the only parties, and no provision of this franchise shall in any way inure to the benefit of any third person (including the public at large) so as to constitute any such person as a third party beneficiary of the agreement or of any one or more of the terms hereof, or otherwise give rise to any cause of action in any person not a party hereto.

## **SECTION 11. AMENDMENT PROCEDURE.**

Either party to this franchise agreement may at any time propose that the agreement be amended to address a subject of concern and the other party will consider whether it agrees that

the amendment is mutually appropriate. If an amendment is agreed upon, this Ordinance may be amended at any time by the City passing a subsequent ordinance declaring the provisions of the amendment, which amendatory ordinance shall become effective upon the filing of Company's written consent thereto with the City Clerk within 90 days after the date of final passage by the City of the amendatory ordinance.

**SECTION 12. PREVIOUS FRANCHISES SUPERSEDED.**

This franchise supersedes any previous electric franchise granted to Company or its predecessor.

First Reading:

Second Reading:

Published:

Attest

\_\_\_\_\_  
Debra A. Mangen, City Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_  
James B. Hovland, Mayor

Please publish in the Edina Sun Current on

Send two affidavits of publication

Bill to Edina City Clerk